



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,183	09/15/2000	Christine Dupuis	05725.0753-00000 4212	
22852	7590 06/13/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			· EXAMINER	
			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	21
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/663,183	DEPUIS, CHRISTINE				
Advisory Addidit	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lauren Q Wells	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>						
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		,				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-102.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  10. Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Hadnada				
	:	SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER 6/12/03				

Application No. 009/663,183

## 

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the addition of a specific tertpolymer in the instant independent claims will require further consideration, as previously this terpolymer was part of a Markush group in a dependent claim, wherein the claim was rejected over another member of the Markush group. Thus, an additional rejection would be required to reject the amendment to the instant independent claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 112 and 103 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 2/12/03, Paper No. 21; b) Entry of the After Final amendment will be sufficient to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejections over the term "derivatives"; c) Regarding the 2nd 112 rejection in the previous Office Action, Applicant states that "the claim defines the derivatives of formula I". If this is the case, the Examiner respectfully suggests that Applicant remove the term "derivative" in the phrase "from at least one derivative of formula I" to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection over this phrase. Applicant's arguments are directed toward the the After Final Amendment to the claims that is not being entered. Thus, these arguments are moot..